

# Markscheme

November 2019

History

Higher level and standard level

Paper 1

27 pages

No part of this product may be reproduced in any form or by any electronic or mechanical means, including information storage and retrieval systems, without written permission from the IB.

Additionally, the license tied with this product prohibits commercial use of any selected files or extracts from this product. Use by third parties, including but not limited to publishers, private teachers, tutoring or study services, preparatory schools, vendors operating curriculum mapping services or teacher resource digital platforms and app developers, is not permitted and is subject to the IB's prior written consent via a license. More information on how to request a license can be obtained from <http://www.ibo.org/contact-the-ib/media-inquiries/for-publishers/guidance-for-third-party-publishers-and-providers/how-to-apply-for-a-license>.

Aucune partie de ce produit ne peut être reproduite sous quelque forme ni par quelque moyen que ce soit, électronique ou mécanique, y compris des systèmes de stockage et de récupération d'informations, sans l'autorisation écrite de l'IB.

De plus, la licence associée à ce produit interdit toute utilisation commerciale de tout fichier ou extrait sélectionné dans ce produit. L'utilisation par des tiers, y compris, sans toutefois s'y limiter, des éditeurs, des professeurs particuliers, des services de tutorat ou d'aide aux études, des établissements de préparation à l'enseignement supérieur, des fournisseurs de services de planification des programmes d'études, des gestionnaires de plateformes pédagogiques en ligne, et des développeurs d'applications, n'est pas autorisée et est soumise au consentement écrit préalable de l'IB par l'intermédiaire d'une licence. Pour plus d'informations sur la procédure à suivre pour demander une licence, rendez-vous à l'adresse <http://www.ibo.org/fr/contact-the-ib/media-inquiries/for-publishers/guidance-for-third-party-publishers-and-providers/how-to-apply-for-a-license>.

No se podrá reproducir ninguna parte de este producto de ninguna forma ni por ningún medio electrónico o mecánico, incluidos los sistemas de almacenamiento y recuperación de información, sin que medie la autorización escrita del IB.

Además, la licencia vinculada a este producto prohíbe el uso con fines comerciales de todo archivo o fragmento seleccionado de este producto. El uso por parte de terceros —lo que incluye, a título enunciativo, editoriales, profesores particulares, servicios de apoyo académico o ayuda para el estudio, colegios preparatorios, desarrolladores de aplicaciones y entidades que presten servicios de planificación curricular u ofrezcan recursos para docentes mediante plataformas digitales— no está permitido y estará sujeto al otorgamiento previo de una licencia escrita por parte del IB. En este enlace encontrará más información sobre cómo solicitar una licencia: <http://www.ibo.org/es/contact-the-ib/media-inquiries/for-publishers/guidance-for-third-party-publishers-and-providers/how-to-apply-for-a-license>.

**Prescribed subject 1: Military leaders**

1. (a) What, according to Source A, was the Yassa? **[3]**

- The Yassa was a code of law.
- It was intended to be binding on Genghis Khan's people and their descendants.
- It included old traditions, customs, laws and ideas of the Mongols.
- It consisted of laws of Genghis Khan's own devising.

*The above material is an indication of what candidates **may** elect to write about in their responses. It is neither prescriptive nor exhaustive and **no set answer is required**. Award **[1]** for each relevant point up to a maximum of **[3]**.*

(b) What does Source B suggest about Genghis Khan's administration? **[2]**

- Genghis Khan was central to Mongol administration.
- In his presence, other Mongols were respectful and submissive.
- Genghis Khan was an itinerant ruler travelling from place to place with his wife.

*The above material is an indication of what candidates **may** elect to write about in their responses. It is neither prescriptive nor exhaustive and **no set answer is required**. Award **[1]** for each relevant point up to a maximum of **[2]**.*

2. With reference to its origin, purpose and content, analyse the value and limitations of Source D for an historian studying the role of Genghis Khan in establishing the Yassa.

[4]

**Value:**

- It provides a mid to late 13th century perspective on Mongol history from a Muslim historian.
- As it intends to give a history of Ghengis Khan's rule it can examine the significance of his role in establishing the Yassa in a broader context
- It reveals that Genghis Khan had successfully established and codified the Yassa.

**Limitations:**

- Because of the broad nature of the chronicle, treatment of the establishment of the Yassa may be limited.
- As an historian who lived in the 13th century, Juvaini had limited access to reliable sources.
- The title of the chronicle [*History of the World Conqueror*] may suggest that Genghis Khan's role in the establishment of the Yassa could have been exaggerated.

*The focus of the question is on the value and limitations of the source. If **only** value **or** limitations are discussed, award a maximum of [2]. Origins, purpose and content should be used as supporting evidence to make relevant comments on the values and limitations. For [4] there must be at least one reference to each of them in **either** the values **or** the limitations.*

3. Compare and contrast what Sources A and C reveal about the Yassa.

[6]

Marks	Level descriptor
5–6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The response includes clear and valid points of comparison <b>and</b> of contrast.</li> </ul>
3–4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The response includes some valid points of comparison and/or of contrast, although these points may lack clarity.</li> </ul>
1–2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The response consists of description of the content of the source(s), and/or general comments about the source(s), rather than valid points of comparison or of contrast.</li> </ul>
0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The response does not reach a standard described by the descriptors above.</li> </ul>

Apply the markbands that provide the “**best fit**” to the responses given by candidates and **award credit wherever it is possible to do so**. The following material is an indication of what candidates **may** elect to write about in their responses. It is neither prescriptive nor exhaustive and **no set answer is required**.

**Comparisons:**

- Both sources indicate that the Yassa was codified during the rule of Genghis Khan.
- Both sources indicate that Genghis Khan had an active role adding laws and/or comments (Bilik) to the Yassa.
- Both sources indicate the Yassa was kept in the treasuries of Mongol princes.
- Both sources assert that the Yassa existed as a codified document but no complete copy is known to still exist.

**Contrasts:**

- Source A suggests the Yassa was a codification of old Mongol customs (to which Genghis Khan added further laws) whereas Source C implies that laws and ordinances were mainly promulgated by Khan with the aid of his secretaries.
- Source A suggests that it is possible to recover the Yassa by assembling fragments from various sources whereas Source C is more circumspect in this regard.

4. Using the sources and your own knowledge, discuss the contribution of Genghis Khan to the establishment of the Yassa. [9]

Marks	Level descriptors		
	Focus	Use of sources	Own knowledge
7–9	The response is focused on the question.	Clear references are made to the sources, and these references are used effectively as evidence to support the analysis.	Accurate and relevant own knowledge is demonstrated. There is effective synthesis of own knowledge and source material.
4–6	The response is generally focused on the question.	References are made to the sources, and these references are used as evidence to support the analysis.	Where own knowledge is demonstrated, this lacks relevance or accuracy. There is little or no attempt to synthesize own knowledge and source material.
1–3	The response lacks focus on the question.	References to the sources are made, but at this level these references are likely to consist of descriptions of the content of the sources rather than the sources being used as evidence to support the analysis.	No own knowledge is demonstrated or, where it is demonstrated, it is inaccurate or irrelevant.
0	The response does not reach a standard described by the descriptors above	The response does not reach a standard described by the descriptors above	The response does not reach a standard described by the descriptors above

Apply the markbands that provide the “**best fit**” to the responses given by candidates and **award credit wherever it is possible to do so**. The following material is an indication of what candidates **may** elect to write about in their responses. It is neither prescriptive nor exhaustive and **no set answer is required**. While it is expected that there will be coverage of **at least two** of the sources, candidates are not required to refer to all four sources in their responses.

**Indicative content**

**Source A**                    The Yassa promulgated by Genghis Khan was a codification of ancestral traditions, customs and laws. However, Genghis Khan played a significant role by adding further laws of his own devising.

**Source B**                    Genghis Khan was central to Mongol administration of the law. The tent in which he sits represents the nomadic nature of Mongol life and the itinerant nature of his rule may have helped establish the Yassa.

- Source C** The Yassa was the code of laws promulgated by Genghis Khan who added further suggestions or comments to the Yassa known as Bilik (knowledge), thus indicating his involvement in the establishment of the law. Nevertheless, there is some doubt as to whether the laws were written down during Ghengis Khan's lifetime, and thus it may be difficult to assess his direct contribution.
- Source D** Genghis Khan established rules and regulations for every circumstance and penalties for every crime. He ordered that these ordinances be written down on rolls. These were kept in the treasuries of the chief princes. Mongol princes acted in accordance with the Yassa.
- Own knowledge** Candidates may provide examples of some of the norms included in the Yassa and consider its origins as a set of rules created during times of war, which aimed to bind together the nomad clans. These characteristics, and the fact that the Yassa was kept in secrecy, allowed Genghis Khan to make changes to the law as per his needs. Candidates may also refer to the appointment of members of Genghis Khan's family as judges to keep the Yassa under his control, as in the case of his son Chaghatai who was a significant supporter of Genghis and the Yassa. Candidates may consider Genghis Khan's role in developing and keeping the Yassa by discussing its disappearance by the end of the 13th century. Candidates may discuss the impact of other law codes in the Mongol Yassa. The expansion into Chinese, Russian and Persian territories, and encounters with those peoples' high cultural standards and legal systems, may possibly have influenced Mongol law.

**Prescribed subject 2: Conquest and its impact**

5. (a) What, according to Source E, happened during the meeting between Moctezuma II [Motecusuma] and Hernán Cortés? [3]
- Moctezuma welcomed Cortés in a respectful manner and/or gave Cortés an elevated seat at his right hand.
  - Cortés outlined the Spaniards' Christian faith and/or was critical of the Aztecs' gods.
  - Moctezuma reaffirmed the Aztecs' religious beliefs.
  - Moctezuma indicated his desire to send gifts to the Spanish emperor.

*The above material is an indication of what candidates **may** elect to write about in their responses. It is neither prescriptive nor exhaustive and **no set answer is required**. Award [1] for each relevant point up to a maximum of [3].*

- (b) What does Source F suggest about the relationship between the Spanish and the Aztecs during the conquest? [2]
- Malinche facilitated a peaceful first encounter between the Spanish and the Aztecs.
  - The Spanish were militarily prepared.
  - The Aztecs treated Cortés with deference/respect

*The above material is an indication of what candidates **may** elect to write about in their responses. It is neither prescriptive nor exhaustive and **no set answer is required**. Award [1] for each relevant point up to a maximum of [2].*



6. With reference to its origin, purpose and content, analyse the value and limitations of Source E for an historian studying cultural interaction between the Spanish and the Aztecs during the conquest of Mexico. [4]

**Value:**

- The author served under Cortés during the conquest of Mexico and it may be implied from the source that the author was present at the meetings.
- It offers a full account of the conquest of Mexico, therefore detail can be expected.
- It provides information on how the two sides perceived the other's belief systems.

**Limitations:**

- The memoirs were written many years after the conquest of Mexico.
- The author's Christian faith may have affected how he described the Aztec culture and beliefs.
- It only gives the Spanish perspective of the meeting between themselves and the Aztecs.

*The focus of the question is on the value and limitations of the source. If **only value or limitations** are discussed, award a maximum of [2]. Origins, purpose and content should be used as supporting evidence to make relevant comments on the values and limitations. For [4] there must be at least one reference to each of them in **either the values or the limitations**.*

7. Compare and contrast what Sources G and H reveal about the interaction between the Spanish and the Aztecs during the conquest of Mexico.

[6]

Marks	Level descriptor
5–6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The response includes clear and valid points of comparison <b>and</b> of contrast.</li> </ul>
3–4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The response includes some valid points of comparison and/or of contrast, although these points may lack clarity.</li> </ul>
1–2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The response consists of description of the content of the source(s), and/or general comments about the source(s), rather than valid points of comparison or of contrast.</li> </ul>
0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The response does not reach a standard described by the descriptors above.</li> </ul>

Apply the markbands that provide the “**best fit**” to the responses given by candidates and **award credit wherever it is possible to do so**. The following material is an indication of what candidates **may** elect to write about in their responses. It is neither prescriptive nor exhaustive and **no set answer is required**.

**Comparisons:**

- Both sources claim that the Spanish coveted/desired Aztec wealth.
- Both sources suggest that the Spanish had superior military technology.
- Both sources claim that the Spanish considered themselves superior to the Aztecs.
- Both sources claim that religion played a role in the interactions between the Aztecs and the Spanish.

**Contrasts:**

- Source G states the power of the Spanish lay in their military superiority whereas Source H claims that their advantage was defined by their self-confidence and ambition.
- Source G states that the Aztec Empire was at its climax whereas Source H states that it was young and still evolving and thus less able to resist the Spanish.

8. Using the sources and your own knowledge, discuss the significance of cultural interaction between the Aztecs and the Spanish during the conquest of Mexico. [9]

Marks	Level descriptors		
	Focus	Use of sources	Own knowledge
7–9	The response is focused on the question.	Clear references are made to the sources, and these references are used effectively as evidence to support the analysis.	Accurate and relevant own knowledge is demonstrated. There is effective synthesis of own knowledge and source material.
4–6	The response is generally focused on the question.	References are made to the sources, and these references are used as evidence to support the analysis.	Where own knowledge is demonstrated, this lacks relevance or accuracy. There is little or no attempt to synthesize own knowledge and source material.
1–3	The response lacks focus on the question.	References to the sources are made, but at this level these references are likely to consist of descriptions of the content of the sources rather than the sources being used as evidence to support the analysis.	No own knowledge is demonstrated or, where it is demonstrated, it is inaccurate or irrelevant.
0	The response does not reach a standard described by the descriptors above.	The response does not reach a standard described by the descriptors above.	The response does not reach a standard described by the descriptors above.

Apply the markbands that provide the “**best fit**” to the responses given by candidates and **award credit wherever it is possible to do so**. The following material is an indication of what candidates **may** elect to write about in their responses. It is neither prescriptive nor exhaustive and **no set answer is required**. While it is expected that there will be coverage of **at least two** of the sources, candidates are not required to refer to all four sources in their responses.

**Indicative content**

**Source E** The Spanish wished to convert the Aztecs to Christianity, but the Aztecs were not prepared to abandon their beliefs and accept Christianity. This may have been significant in provoking disagreement.

**Source F** There was a seemingly peaceful encounter between the Aztecs and the Spanish in which the religions of both groups played a significant role. However, the Spanish were prepared to use military force in the conquest of Mexico.

**Source G** The Aztecs interpreted the arrival of the Spanish as the return of their gods. The Spanish considered themselves superior to the Aztecs and, given their significant dissimilarity, there was potential for a cultural clash between the two groups.

**Source H** The Spanish considered the Aztec way of life to be inferior to their own and decided to conquer it in the name of Christianity. Cultural issues played a large role in the defeat of the Aztecs.

**Own knowledge** Candidates may discuss the significance of the cultural confrontation with further reference to some practices/rituals (for example, the use of human sacrifices) and its impact on the conquest. There may be further discussion of the effect of Aztec prophecies on their understanding of the conquest. There may be further detail on the role of Malinche, who played a significant part as translator of Cortés. There may be additional details on the role of the Catholic Church, which forced the destruction of idols and the conversion of indigenous people during the conquest. Candidates may approach this question by discussing the role of factors such as economic gain in motivating the Spanish conquest of Mexico. Alternatively, candidates may argue that factors such as Spanish military/technological advantages, use of horses and the impact of disease were more important in the conquest of Mexico.

**Prescribed subject 3: The move to global war**

9. (a) Why, according to Source I, would it be difficult for Japan to defeat China? **[3]**
- China's territory could not be completely occupied.
  - It would be impossible for Japan to seize all of China's arsenals.
  - It would be impossible to isolate/blockade all of China.
  - The Japanese could not prevent the Chinese from taking arms from them.

*The above material is an indication of what candidates **may** elect to write about in their responses. It is neither prescriptive nor exhaustive and **no set answer is required**. Award **[1]** for each relevant point up to a maximum of **[3]**.*

- (b) What does Source L suggest about Japan's expansion into China? **[2]**
- The Japanese army forcibly rolled over everything ahead of it.
  - Britain, France and the US were unprepared/unwilling to prevent the Japanese invasion/occupation of China.
  - Japan's expansion into China was part of their plan to become a world power.

*The above material is an indication of what candidates **may** elect to write about in their responses. It is neither prescriptive nor exhaustive and **no set answer is required**. Award **[1]** for each relevant point up to a maximum of **[2]**.*

10. With reference to its origin, purpose and content, analyse the value and limitations of Source I for an historian studying the Second United Front in China. [4]

**Value:**

- It was an interview with the leader of the Communist Party Mao Zedong in 1936 and contemporary with events.
- It indicates how Mao wanted China and the Second United Front to be perceived.
- It details the advantages China would have in a conflict with Japan and the benefits of forming a United Front.

**Limitations:**

- The interview was conducted through an interpreter and was not a direct conversation.
- Mao, aware that his words would be published, may have attempted to garner support for the struggle against Japan.
- It only gives Mao's perspective on the situation in China.

*The focus of the question is on the value and limitations of the source. If **only value or limitations** are discussed, award a maximum of [2]. Origins, purpose and content should be used as supporting evidence to make relevant comments on the values and limitations. For [4] there must be at least one reference to each of them in **either the values or the limitations**.*

11. Compare and contrast what Sources J and K reveal about the events leading up to the formation of the Second United Front.

[6]

Marks	Level descriptor
5-6	• The response includes clear and valid points of comparison <b>and</b> of contrast.
3-4	• The response includes some valid points of comparison and/or of contrast, although these points may lack clarity.
1-2	• The response consists of description of the content of the source(s), and/or general comments about the source(s), rather than valid points of comparison or of contrast.
0	• The response does not reach a standard described by the descriptors above.

Apply the markbands that provide the “**best fit**” to the responses given by candidates and **award credit wherever it is possible to do so**. The following material is an indication of what candidates **may** elect to write about in their responses. It is neither prescriptive nor exhaustive and **no set answer is required**.

**Comparisons:**

- Both sources maintain that Jiang Jieshi was taken prisoner.
- Both sources indicate that Zhang Xueliang was negotiating with the Chinese Communist Party (CCP).
- Both sources indicate the involvement of the Soviet Union.
- Both sources claim that an agreement was reached that Jiang and his government would assume the leadership of China in the struggle against the Japanese with the backing of the CCP.

**Contrasts:**

- The tone of Source J is optimistic that China was moving towards national unity with the formation of the Second United Front whereas Source K is pessimistic, maintaining that the Second United Front would collapse once the Japanese had been defeated.
- While Source K mentions Soviet influence in discussions with the Communists over Jiang’s kidnapping, Source J suggests Stalin played a coercive role in resolving the Xian Incident.

12. Using the sources and your own knowledge, discuss the significance of the creation of the Second United Front in China.

[9]

Marks	Level descriptors		
	Focus	Use of sources	Own knowledge
7–9	The response is focused on the question.	Clear references are made to the sources, and these references are used effectively as evidence to support the analysis.	Accurate and relevant own knowledge is demonstrated. There is effective synthesis of own knowledge and source material.
4–6	The response is generally focused on the question.	References are made to the sources, and these references are used as evidence to support the analysis.	Where own knowledge is demonstrated, this lacks relevance or accuracy. There is little or no attempt to synthesize own knowledge and source material.
1–3	The response lacks focus on the question.	References to the sources are made, but at this level these references are likely to consist of descriptions of the content of the sources rather than the sources being used as evidence to support the analysis.	No own knowledge is demonstrated or, where it is demonstrated, it is inaccurate or irrelevant.
0	The response does not reach a standard described by the descriptors above.	The response does not reach a standard described by the descriptors above.	The response does not reach a standard described by the descriptors above.

Apply the markbands that provide the “**best fit**” to the responses given by candidates and **award credit wherever it is possible to do so**. The following material is an indication of what candidates **may** elect to write about in their responses. It is neither prescriptive nor exhaustive and **no set answer is required**. While it is expected that there will be coverage of **at least two** of the sources, candidates are not required to refer to all four sources in their responses.

**Indicative content**

**Source I** The Second United Front would make it difficult for Japan to defeat China, however other factors would pose key challenges: China’s size, the location of its arsenals and the advantages of its regionally fragmented economy.

**Source J** The failure to form a Second United Front between the Guomindang (GMD) and the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) risked alienating the Soviet Union and Stalin, who threatened not to recognize Mao and renounce the CCP as bandits. The United Front also brought an end to the Civil War between Jiang and Mao and allowed the GMD and the CCP to combine forces against the Japanese invasion of China rather than fight each other.

**Source K** The United Front would solidify an effective resistance against the Japanese; for it to succeed, Jiang would have to lead it, with the GMD becoming the legitimate government of China. The Soviet Union would



also support the United Front by resuming military aid to China. However, the source is pessimistic on its ability to endure.

**Source L**

In 1935, there was no resistance to the Japanese occupation of China either internally or externally and implies that a new course of action was needed to attempt to slow the Japanese advance.

**Own knowledge**

The Second United Front was significant as it was the first combined attempt by the GMD and the CCP to fight Japan. Neither Mao nor Jiang were in complete command of the CCP and GMD respectively and the creation of the Second United Front came about from the need to attempt to reconcile these internal power struggles. With the conclusion of the Second United Front, Mao consolidated his position as leader of the CCP. Some observers have identified the creation of the Second United Front as being the turning point in the history of the CCP in China. Following the Xian Incident and the formation of the Second United Front, Jiang was re-established as the leader of a unified GMD, which was recognized as being the national government of China. Militarily, the joining of the forces in the Second United Front slowed the Japanese advance initially although not significantly enough to prevent Japan invading China in July 1937. The GMD and the CCP retreated into the interior to Chongqing and Yenan, forcing Japan to waste significant resources in an attempt to defeat them. Candidates may suggest that the Second United Front was not significant and offer further detail on the continued conflict between CCP and GMD. Furthermore, candidates could develop the significance of other factors such as Soviet support and the role of US assistance / intervention in the war with Japan.

**Prescribed subject 4: Rights and protest**

13. (a) Why, according to Source M, did the opposition resort to violence? [3]

- Peaceful protest had failed.
- Government actions had become increasingly oppressive.
- People were frustrated and demanded that their leaders take a more militant approach.
- ANC leaders were concerned that there would be random and uncontrolled unrest if they did not organize the violent struggle.

*The above material is an indication of what candidates **may** elect to write about in their responses. It is neither prescriptive nor exhaustive and **no set answer is required**. Award [1] for each relevant point up to a maximum of [3].*

(b) What does Source N suggest about the situation of the ANC in 1964? [2]

- The ANC had to contend with state repression.
- The ANC was weakened by the Rivonia Trial.
- The ANC could not be held down for long.

*The above material is an indication of what candidates **may** elect to write about in their responses. It is neither prescriptive nor exhaustive and **no set answer is required**. Award [1] for each relevant point up to a maximum of [2].*

14. With reference to its origin, purpose and content, analyse the value and limitations of Source P for an historian studying the Rivonia Trial (1963–1964). [4]

**Value:**

- Written by a person who witnessed the trial at first hand, it provides vivid understanding of the circumstances surrounding the trial.
- As a despatch to a newspaper, it is written to provide a daily account of the events during the trial.
- It reveals the concerns that were felt about the outcome of the trial and what its impact may be.

**Limitations:**

- Written during the trial, it does not have an overview of the trial itself, nor of its outcome and impact.
- As a friend of Mandela, Sampson may not be offering an objective view.
- Written for a British newspaper, it may reflect or foster the pro-Mandela sympathies that the source suggests existed in Britain.

*The focus of the question is on the value and limitations of the source. If **only value or limitations** are discussed, award a maximum of [2]. Origins, purpose and content should be used as supporting evidence to make relevant comments on the values and limitations. For [4] there must be at least one reference to each of them in **either the values or the limitations**.*

15. Compare and contrast what Sources O and P reveal about the ANC’s struggle against the South African government between 1962 and 1964.

[6]

Marks	Level descriptor
5–6	• The response includes clear and valid points of comparison <b>and</b> of contrast.
3–4	• The response includes some valid points of comparison and/or of contrast, although these points may lack clarity.
1–2	• The response consists of description of the content of the source(s), and/or general comments about the source(s), rather than valid points of comparison or of contrast.
0	• The response does not reach a standard described by the descriptors above.

Apply the markbands that provide the “**best fit**” to the responses given by candidates and **award credit wherever it is possible to do so**. The following material is an indication of what candidates **may** elect to write about in their responses. It is neither prescriptive nor exhaustive and **no set answer is required**.

**Comparisons:**

- Both sources indicate that the trial was a turning point after a period of growing anti-apartheid protest.
- Both sources suggest that the trial was a setback for the ANC.
- Both sources indicate that there was international interest in the trial.
- Both sources emphasize the role of Nelson Mandela in the struggle against apartheid.

**Contrasts:**

- Source P argues that political opposition within South Africa, although diminished, would continue to exist whereas Source O states that such opposition was silenced.
- Source O suggests that the plight of Mandela was public knowledge only in Britain whereas Source P suggests this knowledge may have troubled those in the US too.

16. Using the sources and your own knowledge, discuss the view that, by the end of 1964, the anti-apartheid movement had been significantly weakened.

[9]

Marks	Level descriptors		
	Focus	Use of sources	Own knowledge
7–9	The response is focused on the question.	Clear references are made to the sources, and these references are used effectively as evidence to support the analysis.	Accurate and relevant own knowledge is demonstrated. There is effective synthesis of own knowledge and source material.
4–6	The response is generally focused on the question.	References are made to the sources, and these references are used as evidence to support the analysis.	Where own knowledge is demonstrated, this lacks relevance or accuracy. There is little or no attempt to synthesize own knowledge and source material.
1–3	The response lacks focus on the question.	References to the sources are made, but at this level these references are likely to consist of descriptions of the content of the sources rather than the sources being used as evidence to support the analysis.	No own knowledge is demonstrated or, where it is demonstrated, it is inaccurate or irrelevant.
0	The response does not reach a standard described by the descriptors above.	The response does not reach a standard described by the descriptors above.	The response does not reach a standard described by the descriptors above.

Apply the markbands that provide the “**best fit**” to the responses given by candidates and **award credit wherever it is possible to do so**. The following material is an indication of what candidates **may** elect to write about in their responses. It is neither prescriptive nor exhaustive and **no set answer is required**. While it is expected that there will be coverage of **at least two** of the sources, candidates are not required to refer to all four sources in their responses.

**Indicative content**

**Source M** The ANC had failed in its campaigns of peaceful protest: not only had the movement failed to win any concessions from the South African government but also the government’s crackdown had forced the opposition to go underground and to adopt violent methods.

**Source N** The trial was a setback to the anti-apartheid struggle. Additionally, or alternatively, the government’s attempt to tie down and repress the anti-apartheid movement was ineffectual.

- Source O** The government was successful in silencing political protest within South Africa—a success achieved through the application of repressive measures and actions. However, as a consequence of the Rivonia Trial and Mandela’s testimony, the ANC received international attention.
- Source P** The Rivonia trial was a severe setback for the anti-apartheid movement, but resistance would continue, though in a simpler, more extreme and underground form. In addition, the trial received international attention.
- Own knowledge** Candidates may argue that by the end of 1964 the movement was weakened due to the impact of repressive legislation including “Baaskap” laws (1948–1958) and the Treason Trials of 1956, when many leaders of the anti-apartheid movement were put on trial for treason. In 1959, the South African government outlawed both the ANC and the PAC (Pan-African Congress) and in 1962 Mandela was arrested and given a five-year prison sentence.  
Candidates may also refer to the potential weakening of the movement before 1964 when the anti-apartheid movement split in 1959, when Robert Sobukwe formed the PAC after breaking away from the ANC because he was opposed to the ANC’s multiracial nature.  
Candidates may also argue that the movement had been weak throughout the 1950s due to the ineffectiveness of peaceful mass protests such as the opposition to the Pass Laws which resulted in Sharpeville massacre. However, candidates may suggest that the movement was strengthened by the signing of the Freedom Charter in 1955 which demonstrated some white support. In addition, the MK (“Umkhonto we Sizwe”) and “Poqo” were formed in 1961 and there was support for MK from the Soviet Union and other African countries.

**Prescribed subject 5: Conflict and intervention**

17. (a) How, according to Source Q, did the international community view the indictment of Slobodan Milosevic? **[3]**

- The US president believed it would deter future war crimes and called on all nations to support the tribunal.
- Russia’s view was that it was “politicized” and that it complicated negotiations.
- Bosnian officials believed that it would end the tragedy in the region.
- China’s view was that it may damage peace talks.

*The above material is an indication of what candidates **may** elect to write about in their responses. It is neither prescriptive nor exhaustive and **no set answer is required**. Award **[1]** for each relevant point up to a maximum of **[3]**.*

(b) What does Source T suggest about the indictment of Slobodan Milosevic? **[2]**

- There was a long road of death and destruction leading to the trial of Milosevic.
- The indictment would lead to Milosevic being tried in The Hague.
- Milosevic was unconcerned by the indictment and the impending trial.

*The above material is an indication of what candidates **may** elect to write about in their responses. It is neither prescriptive nor exhaustive and **no set answer is required**. Award **[1]** for each relevant point up to a maximum of **[2]**.*

18. With reference to its origin, purpose and content, analyse the value and limitations of Source S for an historian studying the International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia's (ICTY's) indictment and arrest of Slobodan Milosevic. [4]

**Value:**

- The author is an expert in war studies.
- It aims to consider the role and practice of the International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia, ICTY (the tribunal).
- It provides detail about the events that led to the arrest and extradition of Milosevic to the tribunal.

**Limitations:**

- Given its publication date, the source has limited hindsight on events.
- It is a general book on the tribunal.
- It focuses on international pressure for the arrest and transfer of Milosevic to the tribunal following the indictment and lacks balance on internal factors leading to his arrest in Serbia.

*The focus of the question is on the value and limitations of the question. If **only** value **or** limitations are discussed, award a maximum of [2]. Origins, purpose and content should be used as supporting evidence to make relevant comments on the values and limitations. For [4] there must be at least one reference to each of them in **either** the values **or** the limitations.*



19. Compare and contrast what Sources R and S reveal about the events that led to Slobodan Milosevic’s arrest and transfer to stand trial before the International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia (ICTY) at The Hague.

[6]

Marks	Level descriptor
5-6	• The response includes clear and valid points of comparison <b>and</b> of contrast.
3-4	• The response includes some valid points of comparison and/or of contrast, although these points may lack clarity.
1-2	• The response consists of description of the content of the source(s), and/or general comments about the source(s), rather than valid points of comparison or of contrast.
0	• The response does not reach a standard described by the descriptors above.

Apply the markbands that provide the “**best fit**” to the responses given by candidates and **award credit wherever it is possible to do so**. The following material is an indication of what candidates **may** elect to write about in their responses. It is neither prescriptive nor exhaustive and **no set answer is required**.

**Comparisons:**

- Both sources suggest there were important financial incentives for his arrest and extradition.
- Both sources refer to the role of international pressure in his extradition.
- Both sources agree on the date that Milosevic was ultimately transferred to The Hague.

**Contrasts:**

- Source R places greater significance on the domestic situation in Serbia whereas Source S attributes the arrest more to the actions of the US.
- Source R suggests that the Serbian people turned against Milosevic, allowing for his arrest, whereas Source S highlights the role of ICTY prosecutor del Ponte in gaining momentum for the arrest.
- Source R refers to an aid package of \$40 million that was provided to Serbia, whereas Source S states that \$1.3 billion in aid was pledged.

20. Using the sources and your own knowledge, evaluate the response of the International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia (ICTY) to the conflict in Kosovo. [9]

Marks	Level descriptors		
	Focus	Use of sources	Own knowledge
7–9	The response is focused on the question.	Clear references are made to the sources, and these references are used effectively as evidence to support the analysis.	Accurate and relevant own knowledge is demonstrated. There is effective synthesis of own knowledge and source material.
4–6	The response is generally focused on the question.	References are made to the sources, and these references are used as evidence to support the analysis.	Where own knowledge is demonstrated, this lacks relevance or accuracy. There is little or no attempt to synthesize own knowledge and source material.
1–3	The response lacks focus on the question.	References to the sources are made, but at this level these references are likely to consist of descriptions of the content of the sources rather than the sources being used as evidence to support the analysis.	No own knowledge is demonstrated or, where it is demonstrated, it is inaccurate or irrelevant.
0	The response does not reach a standard described by the descriptors above.	The response does not reach a standard described by the descriptors above.	The response does not reach a standard described by the descriptors above.

Apply the markbands that provide the “**best fit**” to the responses given by candidates and **award credit wherever it is possible to do so**. The following material is an indication of what candidates **may** elect to write about in their responses. It is neither prescriptive nor exhaustive and **no set answer is required**. While it is expected that there will be coverage of **at least two** of the sources, candidates are not required to refer to all four sources in their responses.

**Indicative content**

- Source Q**            The international reaction to the International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia’s (ICTY’s) indictment of Milosevic was mixed; the US and Bosnia viewed its action as a positive move toward peace in the region whereas Russia and China deemed it politically damaging to peace talks.
- Source R**            The indictment by the tribunal made Milosevic more vulnerable in Serbia and helped the Serb people realize that a Milosevic regime meant corruption, oppression, death, and a future of international isolation and economic desolation.
- Source S**            A success was that the indictment of Milosevic was pursued by the tribunal prosecutor Carla del Ponte. However, his extradition to The Hague was only realized after the US imposed conditions for a US\$100 million aid package and set a deadline of 31 March 2001.

**Source T** It was only after a great deal of death and destruction that Milosevic was eventually transferred to The Hague to stand trial.

**Own knowledge** Candidates may argue that the tribunal had some success from its establishment in May 1993 under UN Resolution 827. The establishment of the tribunal and its subsequent indictments may have deterred further atrocities in Kosovo. In April 1999, the US referred to the tribunal when it stated that any army commander who encouraged or was involved in a war crime would be held personally responsible. The tribunal indicted four other Serbs alongside Milosevic. His indictment may have been a factor in Milosevic's move towards settling the conflict. Candidates may refer to the limitations of the tribunal's response, noting that Milosevic ignored the tribunal until his indictment. In 1998, the Yugoslav government refused to cooperate with the tribunal as it claimed it was dealing with terrorism in Kosovo. It was not the tribunal's actions but the NATO bombing campaign that led to the end of the conflict. The tribunal was also criticized for being under the control of NATO and lacking a broad international base. The link between handing over indicted individuals in exchange for financial rewards also undermined its credibility.

---